


The aim of this factsheet is to give an overview of the Ecological Footprint and biocapacity within each country to give both some facts and figures and to help to explain why the results and country rankings in the LPR 2012 may be different from LPR 2010.

If everyone in the world consumed like New Zealand then the Ecological Footprint would be 2.43 Planets.

## Comparing LPR 2010 and LPR 2012

	LPR 2010	LPR 2012
Ecological Footprint per person	4.89	4.31
Ecological Footprint ranking	32	35
Biocapacity per person	10.77	10.19
Biocapacity ranking	9	9

## Trends in the Ecological Footprint and biocapacity in 2010 and 2012



## Why are there differences in LPR 2010 compared to LPR 2012?

	Per capita gha	Percentage change	Explanation
Total Ecological Footprint:	4.31	-12%	Template improvement;
Carbon:*	1.56	-32%	Source data revision; Template improvement;
Grassland:	0.00	-100%	Template improvement;
Cropland:	0.72	-1%	Source data change; Template improvement;
Fishing grounds:	0.75	140%	Source data change; Template improvement;
Forests:	1.21	-3%	
Built-up land:	0.06	-9%	
	Per capita gha	Percentage change	Explanation
Total biocapacity:	10.19	-5%	
Grassland:	2.91	1	
Cropland:	0.22	-50%	Data changes
Fishing grounds:	2.09	0%	
Forests:	4.91	-3%	
Built-up land:	0.06	-9%	

\* All countries carbon Footprint decreased 27 percent due to a revision in oceanic carbon sequestration